

AD\_\_\_\_\_

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4129

TITLE: Identification of BRCA1 and 2 Other Tumor Suppressor  
Genes on Chromosome 17 Through Positional Cloning

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Raymond L. White, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102

REPORT DATE: July 1997

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander  
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

19971230 042

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE July 1997		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (1 Jul 96 - 30 Jun 97)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Identification by BRCA1 and 2 Other Tumor Suppressor Genes on Chromosome 17 Through Positional Cloning				5. FUNDING NUMBERS  DAMD17-94-J-4129	
6. AUTHOR(S)  Raymond L. White, Ph.D.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84102				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5012				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) During the past year our project has evolved dramatically and significant progress has been made with respect to our overall goals of defining the genetic changes that distinguish normal cells from tumor cells of the breast. The most important evolution of the project is our change in strategy from identifying presumed tumor suppressor genes defined by loss of heterozygosity (LOH) using a positional cloning approach, to ascertaining and comparing genetic expression profiles from surgical specimens or cells grown in tissue culture using hybridization of cDNA from our tissues to microarrays of interesting genes. This is a very new technology and we are using a novel micro arraying and scanning instrument recently acquired by our laboratory in collaboration with Molecular Dynamics/Amersham. Preliminary experiments suggest that the sensitivity of our new microarray system is sufficiently high to allow us to detect variance in gene expression levels with high precision even under complex hybridization conditions. We have also applied the Differential Display protocol to identify three genes with altered expression in a model system of normal and neoplastic epithelial colonocytes. As an alternative to determining biologic effects of specific genes, we have tested and selected an amphoteric retroviral conditional expression system, which replaces previous experiments using antisense oligo's. We are confident that the "modernization" of our research project will facilitate the identification of genes critical to the development and progression of breast cancer.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Tumor Suppressor Genes, LOH Mapping, Chromosome 17, Physical Mapping, Genetic Mapping, CDNA Screening, Humans, Anatomical Samples, Mutation Detection, Breast Cancer				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited		

## FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

\_\_\_\_ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

\_\_\_\_ Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

\_\_\_\_ Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

✓ \_\_\_\_ In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

✓ \_\_\_\_ For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

✓ \_\_\_\_ In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

✓ \_\_\_\_ In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

\_\_\_\_ In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Ray White  
PI - Signature

8/14/97  
Date

## Table of Contents

Front Cover .....	1
Report Documentation Page .....	2
Foreword .....	3
Table of Contents .....	4
Introduction .....	5
Body .....	7
Conclusions .....	12
References .....	13
Appendix .....	15
List of Publications Resulting from Grant .....	xx
List of Salaried Personnel .....	24

## Introduction

The overall goal of this project is to identify and characterize breast cancer genes. Until now the emphasis of the project has been directed towards the identification and characterization of several specific tumor suppressor genes located on chromosome 17. Common for each of these genes was that their approximate physical location had been delineated either through genetic linkage analysis of hereditary segregation patterns or by being the target of recurring deleterious events observed as loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in sporadic tumors. Since the discovery of the BRCA1 gene responsible for a hereditary cancer syndrome our experimental focus have been directed towards the identification of four presumed tumor suppressor genes defined by LOH on chromosome 17. Of these four loci, two are located at each extremity of the chromosome, while the remaining two areas of LOH we have identified flank either side of the BRCA1 locus at 17q21. Contrary to hereditary tumor suppressor genes who's position may be determined by genetic linkage analysis, the localization of tumor suppressor genes by LOH is circumstantial. Therefore, LOH does not provide direct evidence as to whether the region of LOH actually contains a gene critical to carcinogenesis or whether the deletion is coincidental. Statistically significant areas of LOH indicative of a tumor suppressor gene can only be determined by analyzing large numbers of specimens. At the same time it holds true that coincidental deletions provide grounds for mis-interpretation of the position of an actual tumor suppressor gene. When our proposal was submitted this paradigm represented the most efficient approach to identifying tumor suppressor genes, however, it has become exceedingly clear that the massive resources applied in various genome centers to establish both physical and expression maps covering the entire human genome far exceeds the amount of data that were are able to generate even within the modest areas of chromosome 17 where we have concentrated our attention. The past year has been a period of transition for the project and early on during this period we evaluated the efficiency of our strategy compared to newer, more global strategies to identify genes critically involved with tumor development and progression. We have for a long time realized that LOH guided search for tumor suppressor genes is vulnerable to critique on several levels. Most importantly among these the rather poor delineation of the tumor suppressor loci, which consequently requires a significant expansion of the physical mapping component of the project and thus dramatically augment the number of candidate genes to evaluate. A different and much broader strategy for identifying *any* kind of gene involved in tumor formation and progression, which is not dependent on prior knowledge of location, employs genetic profiling using high density micro arrays.

Not only does this technique permit detection of the expression profiles of each of a large number of genes in parallel, but it potentially also provides a mechanistic view of how regulatory pathways are controlled. As an entry to this technology we have established a collaboration with Molecular Dynamics who has provided us with an array robot and a scanning device.

## Body

During the past 12 months we have made progress in several areas relating to our research proposal and have also explored new research avenues. In the following sections we will describe in detail the current status of our project. With respect to Task 1, which focuses on "Isolation and characterization of two potential tumor suppressor genes approximately 1MB proximal and 1 MB distal of BRCA1", we have extended the cDNA sequence of the FKBP65 gene to over 2 kb. By Northern analysis the gene product is predicted to be encoded by a 2.4 kb message, similar to the size of the murine homologue of FKBP65 (Coss et al. 1995). Unfortunately, RACE experiments to obtain the five-prime extremity of the gene have proven unsuccessful as well as the general sequencing of the gene has proven surprisingly difficult due to several internally repeated DNA segments complicating the sequencing of PCR amplified DNA templates. Within the known sequence, we have determined the location of several exon boundaries by comparing genomic and cDNA sequences. In addition hereto, we have evidence indicating that the gene transcript exists in two or more abundant splice forms. During the sequence analysis of a genomic clone close to the human FKBP65 gene we noted the presence of an unrelated expressed DNA segment with high sequence homology to a hypothetical *C. elegans* gene. Only one additional human EST showing similarity to this gene has been recorded in the public sequence database, and that gene has been characterized as a novel interferon- $\alpha$ -induced protein associated with Lupus inclusion known as p36 (Rich et al. 1996; Vakharia et al. 1996). We expect to report the completion of the full-length sequence of both of these genes soon.

Because of the negative results we have obtained in our experiments to measure the growth effects of three cultured cell lines following the addition of DLG2 and DLG3 antisense oligonucleotides to the growth medium (described in our previous report), we have found it necessary to implement a more sensitive, reliable and conditional gene expression system to better measure the biologic effects of the genes we identify as candidate tumor suppressor genes. We have therefore acquired four amphotropic retroviral vectors which allow for conditional suppression (or expression) of cloned genes. To determine the biologic characteristics of the first of these vectors, LINX (Hoshimaru et al. 1996), we introduced the Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) into the vector and infected three different breast cancer cell lines with the construct. The results of these experiments, summarized in Table 1, indicate that the LINX vector can be infected into breast cancer cells with efficiencies in excess of 75%. They also indicate that while GFP is a highly stable protein

the overall concentration steadily declines to minimal levels over a period of four to eight days following transcriptional inhibition by the tetracycline analog, doxycyclin (DOX). We are convinced that the change to this effective method for testing the biologic effects of individual genes will provide clearer and more convincing data than the effects of antisense oligo's, and we are in the process of obtaining full-length constructs of both of the DLG genes to test their biologic effects. Please note that the results reported in the table below apply to the induction and repression of our GFP marker in mass culture. We anticipate that we will be able to obtain individual clones that show better levels both of expression and repression.

Breast Cancer Cell line	Cell-type specific autofluorescence with LINX vector alone	% Cells fluorescent following LINX-GFP infection	Mean Fluorescence Intensity following LINX-GFP infection	Mean Fluorescence Intensity 4 Days after DOX addition	Mean Fluorescence Intensity 8 Days after DOX addition	Residual GFP after eight days of incubation with DOX
MCF7	1.65	76.04	228	90	15	6.6%
BT549	2.02	85.32	629	294	28	4.5%
MDA-MB468	1.82	76.86	599	323	56	9.3%

**Table 1. Doxycyclin (DOX) repression of LINX GFP in infected breast cancer lines as determined by FACS analysis.**

To test the efficacy of the tetracycline repressible LINX vector system, GFP was cloned into the LINX vector. Show here are the results of the addition of DOX to LINX-GFP infected breast cancer cell lines. The effects of DOX suppression is clearly visible after four days of incubation and after eight days incubation, the fluorescent signal is reduced to a small percentage of the original. The GFP signal detected at the eight day mark could be caused either by incomplete suppression of the Tet repressor, alternatively it could be argued that the signal is residual and results from the slow turn-over rate of the highly stable GFP protein.


Our initial strategy for identifying tumor suppressor genes in areas of LOH on chromosome 17 was based on a positional cloning strategy. This strategy is divided into three separate stages.



During the first stage a physical map of the area of interest is constructed using genomic clones like YACs, BACs or PIs. Following this stage expressed sequences are ascertained, and as the last stage the candidate genes are analyzed for mutations in breast tumors to determine if indeed they are the sought-for tumor suppressor gene. While we successfully have used this approach in the past (Cawthon et al. 1990; Groden et al. 1991; Joslyn et al. 1991; Viskochil et al. 1990), we recognize that this strategy is both labor intensive and only moderately efficient. The inherent inadequacies of the strategy have been further accentuated by the efforts of some genome centers to physically map the entire genome (Cohen et al. 1993) and to position expressed sequences along each chromosome (see below), which have resulted in a very extensive overlap with our efforts. We have encountered numerous cases hereof, but one typical example of this overlap is that expressed sequence tags (EST's) corresponding to both of our newly discovered genes in the plakoglobin region, FKBP65 gene and the C. elegans homolog, independently were identified and localized between the genetic markers D17S798 and D17S800 – exactly in the area of plakoglobin. To document this situation, a schematic representation of the known and unknown genes genetically or physically mapped to specific regions of chromosome 17 accessible on the web ([www.ncbi.nlm.gov/SCIENCE96/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.gov/SCIENCE96/)) have been included in the appendix. From this list it can be determined that in the area of chromosome 17pter alone, - the locale of the tumor suppressor genes we currently are searching for -, a total of 166 unique cDNAs have been mapped. This situation has been difficult for us to continue and has required us to redefine the means and methods necessary to achieve the original objective of our project; *to identify and characterize genes involved in breast cancer development and progression*. Having evaluated the situation, we determined that we would have to choose one of the following two experimental paths: a) determining the full-length sequence of previously mapped genes and screening them for mutations in breast tumors, or b) implementing a novel cancer gene identification strategy with a wide scope and of high efficiency. We chose to follow the latter path and in the following sections we describe the two approaches we have implemented to identify differentially expressed genes and to determine genetic expression profiles.

As our initial experimental model we decided to compare the expression patterns of normal and neoplastic cells by the *Differential Display* method (Liang and Pardee 1992) to identify genetic alterations characteristic of the early stages of tumor progression. The problem of adapting this approach to studying epithelial breast cells is that neither normal nor neoplastic epithelial breast cells

can be obtained as a homogenous population in sufficient quantities from surgical specimens. As an



**Figure 1. Differential Display Gel.**

This figure shows eleven paired normal and polyp samples tested with the Differential Display system. An RT-PCR product marked by the white arrow was recovered from the gel and identified as the differentially expressed gene CGM2. Also note the high degree of the high level of identity between paired lanes and between the two independent PCR preparations represented in the left and right panels.


alternative to the breast epithelial cells we selected to compare purified normal colonic crypt cells to crypt cells isolated from adenomatous colonic polyps. While the biologic function of breast and colonic epithelial cells differ from each other, the rationale for using colonic crypt cells is firstly based on the fact that the human colonic adenomas are deficient in the APC tumor suppressor protein, which has been shown in mouse to be predisposing for mammary carcinoma as well as colon carcinoma (Moser et al. 1995; Moser et al. 1993). Furthermore, many human mammary tumors have been shown to be deficient in E-cadherin (Kanai et al. 1994; Rimm et al. 1995; Schmutzler et al. 1996), an important cell adhesion protein whose activity is modulated by APC and b-catenin, each of which are members of the Wnt regulatory pathway (Tao et al. 1996; Vleminckx et al. 1997). It is also worth noting that Wnt-1 is a gene first identified as the target gene for mouse mammary tumor virus in MMTV-induced mouse mammary tumors. As our experimental procedure, we prepared first strand cDNA from total RNA isolated from either normal or adenomatous crypt cells using a collection of twelve anchored poly(T) down-stream primers. Each of the first strand cDNAs thus synthesized subsequently served as template in a PCR reaction against twenty different arbitrarily designed up-stream primers. A total of 240 sample-pairs were prepared in this way and the resulting radiolabeled PCR products visualized in acrylamide gels. To confirm reproducibility of the PCR band patterns all samples were prepared and tested in duplicate (Fig.1). Our Differential Display comparison of the normal and adenomatous colonic crypt cells has been completed, resulting in the

identification of at least three genes (CGM2, prothymosin  $\alpha$ , and a novel gene with similarity to X box binding protein-1) which consistently and reproducibly show altered expression levels in a panel of two normal and six polyps (Fig. 2) (Albertsen et al. - manuscript in preparation). In addition to these three genes more than 30 genes reproducibly displayed altered expression levels in the Differential Display gels, however the very low abundance of these messages in the tissue samples we analyzed prevented us from confirming their exact expression status in traditional methods like Northern Blot analysis and RNase Protection Assay.


Several hybridization based methodologies to quantitatively measure expression levels of large numbers of arrayed genes has been described during the past years ((Fodor et al. 1993; Pease et al. 1994; Schena et al. 1995). While the concept and biochemical principles of this type of analysis are simple, the implementation requires sophisticated and costly robotics and laser-scanning technology not readily available. Therefore, to gain access to this technology our laboratory has established a

**Figure 2. RNase Protection Assay of CGM2.**

To confirm the differential expression level of genes identified using the Differential Display system, we compared the expression levels from normal crypt cells (N), adenomatous polyp cells (P) and carcinoma cells (C). A band indicating that the CGM2 gene is expressed only in normal crypt cells and not in the polyp or cancer cells is indicated by the black arrow.



collaboration with Molecular Dynamics, a company which has developed a full set of instrumentation for creating and reading microarrays. We are now using our instruments to analyze gene expression in various breast cells to identify genes displaying altered expression levels among epithelial breast cell lines. As our initial collection of genes to analyze we have used chosen the genes identified using Differential Display on colonocytes described above. In initial experiments, we have been able to confirm that the three transcripts that vary in the normal vs. adenomatous colonocyte also vary in a normal by carcinoma breast cell comparison. Following these initial experiments we will extend the array to include our entire panel of more than 1500 unique cDNA clones selected to represent various classes of biochemical function likely to be relevant to breast carcinogenesis.



**Figure 3. Microarray Analysis of breast cells BE45 and MDA-MD486.**

Panel A is a fluorescent scan of a microarray which was hybridized to fluorescently labeled first strand cDNA derived from the mRNA of the normal breast cells BE45. Panel B is an identical array, which was hybridized to fluorescent cDNA derived from breast cancer cells MDA-MD486. The microarrays contain 47 different cDNA clones and a plasmid (pBS) control. Each column contains a different clone which was spotted four times. The arrows point to two clones, prothymosin- $\alpha$  and a homolog of the X box binding protein 1, which reveal different expression levels of the two genes between the two cell types. The two strongest hybridizing clones underlined on the right side of the panel are the house keeping genes Cyclophilin and GAPDH.

In light of our recent acquisition of a Microarray Spotting and Scanning instrument and the possibility of using the microarray technology to establish genetic expression profiles from any cell source of interest, the latter alternative stands out as having the highest scientific potential. We therefore propose to apply the microarray scanning technology to establish genetic profiles from the experimental model system describing the reversion of the malignant phenotype of breast cells developed by Dr. Weaver (Weaver et al. 1996; Weaver et al. 1997).

## Conclusions

This past year has been a period of transition for the project. New avenues have been explored and as a consequence we recognize that we have not produced as many specific results as in previous years. Nevertheless, we firmly believe that a change in methodology was necessary and we are convinced that the adjustment period will be generously compensated by the insight we expect to gain from the application of micro arrays to determine genetic expression profiles in normal and cancerous epithelial breast cells.

## References

- Cawthon R, Weiss R, Xu G, Viskochil D, Culver M, Stevens J, Robertson M, et al. A major segment of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene: cDNA sequence, genomic structure, and point mutations. *Cell* 62:193-201 (1990).
- Cohen D, Chumakov I, Weissenbach J. A first-generation physical map of the human genome. *Nature* 366:698-701 (1993).
- Coss M, Winterstein D, Sowder Rn, Simek S. Molecular cloning, DNA sequence analysis, and biochemical characterization of a novel 65-kDa FK506-binding protein (FKBP65). *J Biol Chem* 270:29336-41 (1995).
- Fodor S, Rava R, Huang X, Pease A, Holmes C, Adams C. Multiplexed biochemical assays with biological chips. *Nature* 364:555-6 (1993).
- Groden J, Thliveris A, Samowitz W, Carlson M, Gelbert L, Albertsen H, Joslyn G, et al. Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. *Cell* 66:589-600 (1991).
- Hoshimaru M, Ray J, Sah D, Gage F. Differentiation of the immortalized adult neuronal progenitor cell line HC2S2 into neurons by regulatable suppression of the v-myc oncogene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93:1518-23 (1996).
- Joslyn G, Carlson M, Thliveris A, Albertsen H, Gelbert L, Samowitz W, Groden J, et al. Identification of deletion mutations and three new genes at the familial polyposis locus. *Cell* 66:601-13 (1991).
- Kanai Y, Oda T, Tsuda H, Ochiai A, Hirohashi S. Point mutation of the E-cadherin gene in invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 85:1035-9 (1994).
- Liang P, Pardee A. Differential display of eukaryotic messenger RNA by means of the polymerase chain reaction. *Science* 257:967-71 (1992).
- Moser A, Luongo C, Gould K, McNeley M, Shoemaker A, Dove W. ApcMin: a mouse model for intestinal and mammary tumorigenesis. *Eur J Cancer* 31A:1061-4 (1995).
- Moser A, Mattes E, Dove W, Lindstrom M, Haag J, Gould M. ApcMin, a mutation in the murine Apc gene, predisposes to mammary carcinomas and focal alveolar hyperplasias. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90:8977-81 (1993).
- Pease A, Solas D, Sullivan E, Cronin M, Holmes C, Fodor S. Light-generated oligonucleotide arrays for rapid DNA sequence analysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91:5022-6 (1994).

- Rich S, Bose M, Tempst P, Rudofsky U. Purification, microsequencing, and immunolocalization of p36, a new interferon-alpha-induced protein that is associated with human lupus inclusions. *J Biol Chem* 271:1118-26 (1996).
- Rimm D, Sinard J, Morrow J. Reduced alpha-catenin and E-cadherin expression in breast cancer. *Lab Invest* 72:506-12 (1995).
- Schena M, Shalon D, Davis R, Brown P. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. *Science* 270:467-70 (1995).
- Schmutzler R, Fimmers R, Bierhoff E, Lohmar B, Homann A, Speiser P, Kubista E, et al. Association of allelic losses on human chromosomal arms 11Q and 16Q in sporadic breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 69:307-11 (1996).
- Tao Y, Edwards R, Tubb B, Wang S, Bryan J, McCrea P. beta-Catenin associates with the actin-bundling protein fascin in a noncadherin complex. *J Cell Biol* 134:1271-81 (1996).
- Vakharia D, Szebenyi S, Gutterman J, Rich S. Interferon-alpha-induced human lupus inclusions and p36 protein in cancer and AIDS. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 16:709-15 (1996).
- Viskochil D, Buchberg A, Xu G, Cawthon R, Stevens J, Wolff R, Culver M, et al. Deletions and a translocation interrupt a cloned gene at the neurofibromatosis type 1 locus. *Cell* 62:187-92 (1990).
- Vleminckx K, Wong E, Guger K, Rubinfeld B, Polakis P, Gumbiner B. Adenomatous polyposis coli tumor suppressor protein has signaling activity in *Xenopus laevis* embryos resulting in the induction of an ectopic dorsoanterior axis. *J Cell Biol* 136:411-20 (1997).
- Weaver V, Fischer A, Peterson O, Bissell M. The importance of the microenvironment in breast cancer progression: recapitulation of mammary tumorigenesis using a unique human mammary epithelial cell model and a three-dimensional culture assay. *Biochem Cell Biol* 74:833-51 (1996).
- Weaver V, Petersen O, Wang F, Larabell C, Briand P, Damsky C, Bissell M. Reversion of the malignant phenotype of human breast cells in three-dimensional culture and in vivo by integrin blocking antibodies. *J Cell Biol* 137:231-45 (1997).

## Appendix



NCBI |  ? 


## Map Search

Chromosome

**17**

Go to:

1 2 3 4 5  
 6 7 8 9 10  
 11 12 13 14 15  
 16 17 18 19 20  
 21 22 X



### Search Interval

Enter the names of the Genethon markers which define the desired search interval.

Select Markers

Interval: 

166 cDNA markers in this interval

---

### Between 17pter and D17S849 [ $< 0$ cM]

A003A09      Unidentified transcript

---

### Near D17S849 [0 cM]

BCD2293      Unidentified transcriptIB500      Unidentified transcriptSGC34337      Unidentified transcriptWI-7998      Unidentified transcript

---

### Between D17S849 and D17S796 [0-14 cM]

S67156      ASPARTOACYLASEstSG9972      DPH2L=candidate tumor suppressor gene {ovarian cancer critical region of deletion} [human, 9 week fetal and placental tissues, mRNA, 2233 nt]A005T43      EUKARYOTIC INITIATION FACTOR 4A-ICda0gb02      H.sapiens alternative 3' UTR of Nup88 mRNAWI-6584      H.sapiens alternative 3' UTR of Nup88 mRNASGC35667      H.sapiens mRNA for RABAPTIN-5 protein



<u>stSG4272</u>	H.sapiens mRNA for adenosine triphosphatase, calcium
<u>WI-11146</u>	Highly similar to ARRESTIN-C [Rattus norvegicus]
<u>stSG9838</u>	Highly similar to ARRESTIN-C [Rattus norvegicus]
<u>stSG8413</u>	Highly similar to BETA ENOLASE [Homo sapiens]
<u>A008L05</u>	Highly similar to HYPOTHETICAL 13.5 KD PROTEIN C45G9.7 IN CHROMOSOME III [Caenorhabditis elegans]
<u>BCD2371</u>	Highly similar to HYPOTHETICAL 13.6 KD PROTEIN IN NUP170-ILS1 INTERGENIC REGION [Saccharomyces cerevisiae]
<u>A002D39</u>	Highly similar to HYPOTHETICAL 264.2 KD PROTEIN IN RAD3-BMH1 INTERGENIC REGION [Saccharomyces cerevisiae]
<u>A006R35</u>	Highly similar to MEIOSIS-SPECIFIC SPORULATION PROTEIN SPO14 [Saccharomyces cerevisiae]
<u>SGC35694</u>	Highly similar to THIOREDOXIN [Homo sapiens]
<u>A006J03</u>	Highly similar to ZINC FINGER PROTEIN 36 [Homo sapiens]
<u>U33270</u>	Human normal keratinocyte mRNA, clone A47, partial 3' sequence
<u>SGC30584</u>	Human phosphatidylinositol (4,5)bisphosphate 5-phosphatase homolog mRNA, partial cds
<u>A004Q21</u>	Human phosphatidylinositol (4,5)bisphosphate 5-phosphatase homolog mRNA, partial cds
<u>WI-14374</u>	Human phosphatidylinositol (4,5)bisphosphate 5-phosphatase homolog mRNA, partial cds
<u>stSG1504</u>	Human phosphatidylinositol (4,5)bisphosphate 5-phosphatase homolog mRNA, partial cds
<u>SGC31613</u>	MITOCHONDRIAL 2-OXOGLUTARATE/MALATE CARRIER PROTEIN
<u>SGC31677</u>	PIGMENT EPITHELIUM-DERIVED FACTOR PRECURSOR
<u>WI-15114</u>	PLATELET-ACTIVATING FACTOR ACETYLHYDROLASE 45 KD SUBUNIT
<u>stSG4240</u>	PLATELET-ACTIVATING FACTOR ACETYLHYDROLASE 45 KD SUBUNIT
<u>D10656</u>	PROTO-ONCOGENE C-CRK
<u>Cdalig09</u>	REPLICATION PROTEIN A 70 KD DNA-BINDING SUBUNIT
<u>M63488</u>	REPLICATION PROTEIN A 70 KD DNA-BINDING SUBUNIT
<u>SGC35513</u>	SEX HORMONE-BINDING GLOBULIN PRECURSOR
<u>Cda0md06</u>	Unidentified transcript

<u>Cda1bh06</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>D29498</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>H58497</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>IB3555</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC31105</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC31242</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC31871</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC31897</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC32989</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC33249</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC33286</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC33478</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC33660</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC33773</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC34223</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A002R36</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A003N29</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A004F08</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A004M12</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A004O02</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A004X48</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A005P11</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A005P18</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A005R46</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A006D02</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A006E21</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A006O35</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A006Y45</u>	Unidentified transcript

<u>WI-11384</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-11584</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-11637</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-12233</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-12505</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-12783</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-13902</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-14867</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-14953</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-17165</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-17501</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-18700</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-6577</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-8402</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-9674</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>humtgkql</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG10233</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG10341</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG1350</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG2157</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG2838</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG3309</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG3550</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4035</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4474</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4617</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4660</u>	Unidentified transcript

stSG4693      Unidentified transcript  
stSG9372      Unidentified transcript  
stSG9885      Unidentified transcript  
stSG9915      Unidentified transcript

---

**Between D17S849 and D17S1798 [0-6 cM]**

SHGC-31105    Unidentified transcript  
SHGC-31242    Unidentified transcript  
SHGC-32158    Unidentified transcript  
SHGC-3217     Unidentified transcript

---

**Between D17S1798 and D17S1828 [6-9 cM]**

SHGC-12572    INTEGRIN ALPHA-E PRECURSOR  
SHGC-343      PLATELET-ACTIVATING FACTOR ACETYLDHYDROLASE 45 KD SUBUNIT

---

**Between D17S1828 and D17S1810 [9-11 cM]**

SHGC-11989    ACETYLCHOLINE RECEPTOR PROTEIN, EPSILON CHAIN PRECURSOR  
SHGC-10989    PLATELET GLYCOPROTEIN IB ALPHA CHAIN PRECURSOR  
SHGC-317      Unidentified transcript

---

**Near D17S1828 [9 cM]**

SHGC-31444    Unidentified transcript

---

**Near D17S1810 [11 cM]**

SHGC-31613    MITOCHONDRIAL 2-OXOGLUTARATE/MALATE CARRIER PROTEIN

---

**Near D17S796 [14 cM]**

A004D02      Human clone 23933 mRNA sequence  
A008B35      Unidentified transcript

---

**Between D17S796 and D17S960 [14-16 cM]**

<u>WI-17813</u>	ARACHIDONATE 12-LIPOXYGENASE
<u>SGC35547</u>	Highly similar to ACYL-COA DEHYDROGENASE, SHORT-CHAIN SPECIFIC PRECURSOR [Homo sapiens]
<u>stSG1241</u>	Highly similar to ACYL-COA DEHYDROGENASE, VERY-LONG-CHAIN SPECIFIC PRECURSOR [Rattus norvegicus]
<u>SGC33235</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-13656</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-18574</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG10152</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG3230</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4044</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4773</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG4857</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG8146</u>	Unidentified transcript

---


**Between D17S796 and D17S786 [14-18 cM]**

<u>SHGC-166</u>	Highly similar to DIAMINE ACETYLTRANSFERASE [Homo sapiens]
<u>SHGC-31951</u>	Human HepG2 3' region MboI cDNA, clone hmd3a05m3
<u>SHGC-12725</u>	Human cell 12-lipoxygenase mRNA, complete cds
<u>SHGC-12899</u>	MYOSIN HEAVY CHAIN, NONMUSCLE TYPE B
<u>U34304</u>	MYOSIN HEAVY CHAIN, NONMUSCLE TYPE B
<u>SHGC-12631</u>	RETINAL GUANYLYL CYCLASE PRECURSOR
<u>SHGC-17245</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SHGC-31356</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SHGC-31370</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SHGC-31899</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A004I35</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>A004M39</u>	Unidentified transcript

---

**Between D17S960 and D17S786 [16-18 cM]**

<u>stSG1426</u>	ASIALOGLYCOPROTEIN RECEPTOR 1
<u>WI-9178</u>	CELLULAR TUMOR ANTIGEN P53
<u>WI-16243</u>	H.sapiens mRNA for RNA polymerase II largest subunit
<u>SGC30770</u>	Highly similar to 60S RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN L29 [Homo sapiens]
<u>IB1796</u>	Highly similar to ACETYLCHOLINE RECEPTOR PROTEIN, BETA CHAIN PRECURSOR [Homo sapiens]
<u>stSG9768</u>	Highly similar to DIAMINE ACETYLTRANSFERASE [Homo sapiens]
<u>SGC32450</u>	Highly similar to MYOSIN HEAVY CHAIN, NONMUSCLE TYPE B [Homo sapiens]
<u>A002F11</u>	Highly similar to SYNAPTOBREVIN 2 [Homo sapiens]
<u>KIAA0050</u>	Human mRNA for KIAA0050 gene, complete cds
<u>WI-7423</u>	RETINAL GUANYLYL CYCLASE PRECURSOR
<u>SGC31498</u>	SODIUM/POTASSIUM-TRANSPORTING ATPASE BETA-2 CHAIN
<u>stSG77</u>	SODIUM/POTASSIUM-TRANSPORTING ATPASE BETA-2 CHAIN
<u>SGC31356</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC31370</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC31899</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC34636</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>SGC34915</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-11341</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-11540</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-12761</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-14061</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-1410</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-15346</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-16715</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-18497</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>WI-6216</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG10269</u>	Unidentified transcript



<u>stSG2570</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG294</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG3156</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG3959</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG8124</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG8669</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG9868</u>	Unidentified transcript
<u>stSG9877</u>	Unidentified transcript

---

Address comments and suggestions to [info@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](mailto:info@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

List of salaried personnel:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Position:</u>	<u>Percent contribution to salary:</u>
Hans M. Albertsen,	Research Instructor,	67%
Jeff Stevens,	Research associate,	100%
Ray White,	P.I., Professor,	10%